WILMER, CUTLER & PICKERING

2445 M STREET, N.W.

BALTIMORE, MD 2102

TELEPHONE 14101 986-2828

CARLTON GARDENS

TELEPHONE 12021 663-6000

FACSIMILE (2021 663-63620)

FACSIMILE (2021 663-63620) MAR 1 7 2000 TELEPHONE OII (44171) 872-1000 FACSIMILE OII (44171) 839-3537

March 17, 2000

FRIEDRICHSTRASSE 95 D-10117 BERLIN TELEPHONE 011 149301 2022-6400 FACSIMILE OII 149301 2022-6500

BY HAND

DAVID G GRAY DIRECT LINE (202) 663-6299 INTERNET DGRAY@WILMER.COM

> Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

> > Ex Parte Presentation in CC Docket Nos. 94-1, 96-45, 99-249, and 96-262 Re:

Dear Ms. Salas:

Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206, Valor Telecommunications, Inc. ("Valor") hereby submits a memorandum summarizing an ex parte presentation in the above-listed dockets.

On March 16, 2000, representatives of Valor and Citizens Utility Company ("Citizens") met with Commissioner Ness and Mr. Jordan Goldstein for the purpose of making an ex parte presentation regarding the CALLS proposal. The Valor representatives present at the meeting were Ms. Anne Bingaman, Mr. Michael Page, and Mr. William Lake of Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering; Citizens was represented by Mr. Robert Caprye. Valor understands that Citizens will submit its own ex parte memorandum summarizing the points that it presented to Commissioner Ness and Mr. Goldstein.

During the meeting, Valor presented a written handout (copy attached) and discussed issues related to the CALLS proposal, including the possibility of Valor's participation in CALLS and the status of its negotiations with the CALLS group. Valor made the following points that heretofore had not been addressed in the record.

Valor is concerned about the impact that the CALLS proposal will have on its 1. ability – as a company that will serve mostly rural customers – to implement its business plan and improve the quality of service provided to its customers. Valor has signed an asset purchase agreement with GTE for the acquisition of approximately 520,000 access lines and 260 exchanges in smaller to mid-sized, mostly rural, markets in New Mexico, Oklahoma and Texas. Valor's business plan calls for significant upgrades to the acquired operations. Valor is committed to increasing its support staff by 10% within 18 months of closing in order to

improve the overall quality of service. Within 24 months of closing, all of Valor's exchanges will be upgraded to full CLASS services. Also within 24 months of closing, Valor anticipates installing DSL and dial-up ISP services in its top 20 markets. Furthermore, Valor has committed to study the commercial feasibility of deploying DSL services to any community that demonstrates that at least 75 lines will subscribe to such service. Valor is concerned that the CALLS proposal, as currently structured, would impede its efforts to deploy these new services on behalf of its customers.

- 2. The CALLS proposal needs to acknowledge that Valor's customer base and operating characteristics put the company in a different category than other midsized carriers, such as Sprint, for the purpose of establishing a targeted "minute-of-use" ("MOU") rate for traffic-sensitive access charges. The CALLS group has acknowledged that the operating characteristics of Citizens may warrant a higher MOU target rate. As demonstrated by the chart handed out during the presentation (copy attached), Valor's service territory is similar to that of Citizens in its teledensity.
- 3. The CALLS proposal needs to establish an appropriate target MOU rate for midsized carriers that serve primarily rural exchanges. Both Citizens and Valor believe that \$.0095 is a reasonable MOU price floor.
- 4. The CALLS proposal does not adequately address the acquisition of exchange lines from larger price cap LECs by mid-sized LECs. Valor's pending acquisitions of GTE access lines are scheduled to close between 6/1/00 and 9/1/00. Valor needs to ensure that the MOU rate designated for Valor, rather than the rate appropriate for GTE, will go into effect upon closing of the acquisitions.
- 5. Valor is concerned that the CALLS proposal does not set aside USF funds for those exchange lines the transfer of which was negotiated as the CALLS model was being developed. Valor needs to ensure that it receives its share of the \$650 million USF fund upon closing of its acquisitions.

Respectfully submitted,

David G. Gray

WILMER, CUTLER & PICKERING

2445 M Street, NW

Washington, D.C. 20037

(202) 663-6299

TELEDENSITY COMPARISON:

VALOR v. RBOCs v. CITIZENS

| | Line Density (lines / square mile) | Access Lines/ Exchange | Number of Lines | Number of Exchanges | Area (sq. miles) |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| RBOCs | 287.5 | 10,853 | 175,959,000 | 16,240 | 580,864 |
| SPRINT ¹ | 56 | - | - | - | - |
| CITIZENS | 9.07 | 2,298 | 834,180 | 363 | 91,299 |
| VALOR | 10.94 | 1,999 | 519,176 | 260 | 47,448 |

^{1.} As reported by John Nakahata 3/15/00.